

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,892	11/05/2001	Bradley W. Plattner	GRD0128.US	3059
7	7590 04/23/2003			
Todd T. Taylor			EXAMINER	
TAYLOR & A	UST, P.C.		LE, THANH TAM T	
P.O. Box 560	710		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
Avilla, IN 46	/10		2839	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.		1		
	10/010,892	PLATTNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet	viun un com spondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Moreover, the application to become	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	<u> November 2001</u> .				
·	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits	is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		7			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have be	en received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	tion).		
<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)    Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	ovisional application has tic priority under 35 U.S.	been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice	ow Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	.•		

Art Unit: 2839

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show 1. every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a ramped side extending farther from the inner surface in a direction away from the opening" in claims 1, 9 and 16 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

Claims 1, 3-4, 7, 10-11, 14 and 16 are objected to because of the following 2. informalities:

Claim 1, line 6, "each said pointed projection" should be changed as - each of said pointed projections --.

Claims 3 and 10, line 1, "said adjacent side" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claims 4 and 11, line 2, "said two adjacent sides" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claims 7 and 14, line 2, "each said housing portion" should be changed as -each of said housing portions --.

Claims 7 and 14, line 2, "other said housing portion" should be changed as -other of said housing portions --.

Art Unit: 2839

Claim 16, line 4, "each said projection" should be changed as -- each of said projections --.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 7; claim 9, line 6 and claim 16, line 5, "a ramped side extending farther from the inner surface *in a direction away from the opening*" are unclear and confusing.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7, 9-14 and 16-17, in sofar as that can be understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Douty et al. (4,367,005) in view of Bandyopadhyay (5,203,397).

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Douty et al., figures 1 and 3, disclose a strain relief cover which read on an electrical connector for coupling a plurality of electrical conductors which enclosed in a sleeve, comprising:

- a plurality of electrical conductors (46);
- a sleeve (12, a sheath for a cable) carries the plurality of electrical conductors
   (column 1, lines 31-33); and
- a connector (10 and 14, 16, a connector and a cover members, respectively)
   comprises a housing which including a first end has an opening with an inner surface (X, attachment) to accommodate the sleeve and the plurality of electrical conductors. The inner surface has a plurality of pointed projections (24, a plurality of teeth), each of the pointed projections has a ramped side (A, attachment), which is extending farther from the inner surface in a direction away from the opening.

Douty et al. disclose the instant claimed invention as described above except for a fiberglass sleeve.

Bandyopadhyay discloses a die-casing assembly having a plurality of conductors (10) is surrounded by a fiberglass sleeve (20, column 5, lines 3-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Douty et al.'s cable with the fiberglass sleeve as taught by Bandyopadhyay, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claim 2, Douty et al., figure 3, disclose at least one electrical terminal (42) being constrained within the housing and electrically connected to at least one of the plurality of electrical conductors.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Douty et al., figure 1, disclose an adjacent side (C, attachment) of the pointed projections forms an obtuse angle with the inner surface.

√ Regarding claims 4 and 11, Douty et al., figure 1, disclose each of the pointed projections has a front side (B, attachment) which oppositing with the ramped side and adjacent to two adjacent sides (C, attachment). The front side being generally perpendicular to the inner surface.

Regarding claims 5-6 and 12-13, Douty et al., figure 1, disclose the plurality of pointed projections are formed a plurality of rows on the inner surface.

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Douty et al., figure 2, disclose the housing includes at least two housing portions (14, 16). Each of the housing portions configured to connect with at least one other of the housing portions.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, a method of forming an electrical cable is inherent property of Douty et al. and Bandyopadhyay.

7. Claims 8 and 15, in sofar as that can be understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Douty et al. and Bandyopadhyay as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Wing et al. (3,156,514).

Douty et al., figure 1, disclose the pointed projections include two adjacent sides (C, attachment) being adjacent to the ramped side that is extending from the inner surface.

Art Unit: 2839

Douty et al. disclose the instant claimed invention as described above except for the two adjacent sides form a pyramid-shaped point.

Wing et al., figure 7, disclose a connector has face (45) with a pyramidal protrusion (46) that read on the two adjacent sides form a pyramid-shaped point for biting into the cable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Douty et al.'s pointed projections to have the pyramidal protrusion as taught by Wing et al., in order to easily bite and securely clasp to the cable.

#### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 8. applicants' disclosure: Huber (4,269,466) discloses a connector and a strain relief for flat transmission cable, Lemke (4,887,977) discloses a cable connector having a resilient cover and Kramer et al. (5,505,637) disclose a shielded connector with a hermaphroditic shell.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Thanh-Tam T. Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-5711. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 10. supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2839

11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

TL. April 21, 2003.

Thanh-Tam Le

AU. 2839.

